

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virgina 22313-1450 www.spile.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/960,557	10/31/1997	EUGENIO A. CEFALI	SD-50003USP6	6174
23492 7590 01/15/2010 PAUL D. YASGER			EXAMINER	
ABBOTT LABORATORIES			CHANNAVAJJALA, LAKSHMI SARADA	
100 ABBOTT PARK ROAD DEPT. 377/AP6A			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ABBOTT PARK, IL 60064-6008			1611	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/15/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Patents Abbott Park@abbott.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 08/960.557 CEFALI ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Lakshmi S. Channavaiiala 1611 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 September 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 29.30.32.35.36.38.41.42.44.62 and 63 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 29.30.32.35.36.38.41.42.44.62 and 63 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner, Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S6/06)

5) Notice of Informal Fatent Application

6) Other:

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 08/960,557

Art Unit: 1611

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt of response dated 9-17-09 is acknowledged.

Claims 29, 30, 32, 35-36, 38, 41-42, 44, 62 and 63 are pending.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, filed 9-17-09, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s)
30, 32, 35-36, 38, 41-42, 44, 62 and 63 under 35 USC 103(a) considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made as follows:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 29, 30, 32, 35, 36, 38, 41, 42, 44, 62 and 63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5,260,305 to Dennick in view of either US 5,126,145 to Evenstad et al ('145), Saito et al (Arteriosclerosis and Thrombosis, 1991) or US 5,126,145 to Evenstad et al ('145), US 5,116,10 to Broaddus ('610) and Saito et al (Arteriosclerosis and Thrombosis, 1991).
- 4. Dennick teaches a combination of cholesterol lowering drugs that include niacin, of the instant claims, for effectively lowering cholesterol levels, such as LDL and for treating hyperlipiemia (col. 2). Dennick teaches niacin in an amount ranging 75 mg to 2000 mg (col. 3, L 49-63), in a single or divided dosage forms. For the claimed swellable polymers, Dennick gelatin, starch etc (col. 4, L 37-40). While Dennick does not state 1500 mg in a single dose, the range of 75 -2000mg includes the claimed 1500

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 08/960,557

Art Unit: 1611

mg because Dennick teaches starting with a low dose and working up to higher concentrations so as to achieve a desired effect (col. 3, 164-67). Thus, administering a dose of 1500 mg would have been within the scope of a skilled artisan with an expectation to achieve the desired treatment for elevated cholesterol levels.

- Dennick does not exemplify a composition comprising niacin and a swelling polymer such as HPMC or those recited in claim 62. Dennick also fails to teach administering at evening or night.
- 6. '145 teach sustained or controlled release tablets comprising 250, 500 or 750 mg niacin (col. 5, I.54-55). The tablets of '145 comprise 5-30 wt.% hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC) (col. 3, I.18-39), 2-5 wt.% binders (i.e. PVP, starch, gelatin, sucrose, lactose, methylcellulose, HPMC having binding properties and the like) (col. 3, I.40 through col. 4, I.12), 2-20 wt.% hydrophobic component (preferably stearic acid and hydrogenated vegetable oil) (col. 4, I.13 through col. 5, I.9), lubricants, dyes, fillers and extenders (col. 5, II. 10-36). '145 further teach that the dissolution profile of the tablets is 10-35% release in 2 hours after oral ingestion, 40-70% in 8 hours, and at least 90% in 24 hours (col. 5, II.66 through col. 6, H. 5).
- 7. '610 teach an oral composition comprising cholestyramine and polyol polyesters for reduced cholesterol levels and in the treatment of hypercholesterolemia (col. 2). '610 teach the compounds in the form of tablets (col. 2). For the treatment, '610 suggests that administering the drug at evening before meals or bed time is preferred (col. 6, L 18-20) for effective reduction in cholesterol levels.

Application/Control Number: 08/960,557

Page 4

Art Unit: 1611

8. Saito et al studied a comparison between morning and evening doses of simvastatin in hyperlipidemic subjects and observed that once-a-day evening oral doses of simvastatin reduced cholesterol levels in the subjects greater than when the drug was given in the morning (abstract, pages 825). Saito states that there is circadian variation in the biosynthesis of cholesterol and that the biosynthesis activity is accelerated at night (lines bridging pages 816-817).

- 9. It would have been obvious for one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to prepare a controlled release oral composition comprising the active agents of Dennick by employing a cellulose such as HPMC because '145 suggests that HPMC is a known controlled release material that hydrates on the surface of a tablet to form a gel layer, which contributes to the controlled release characteristics of the drug and provides the desired release rate (col. 1-3). Further, '145 teach the amount of HPMC in the same range as that described in the instant specification. A skilled artisan would have expected to achieve a desired rate release of niacin by controlling the release of the drug from a composition containing niacin along with a swellable polymer, HPMC.
- 10. Further, a skilled artisan would have been motivated to administer the niacin composition of Dennick at evening or night suggested by '610 or Saito because both references suggest administering at evening or bed time is safe and effective and further Saito teaches that due circadian rhythms the cholesterol biosynthesis is more at night and therefore administering when at the time when the cholesterol synthesis is high is effective in reducing cholesterol levels and thus controlling the levels of serum

Application/Control Number: 08/960,557 Page 5

Art Unit: 1611

cholesterol (page 824, col. 1). Thus, a skilled artisan would have expected to achieve the balance of cholesterol levels without any associated side effects such as hepatotoxicity and yet a prolonged release of niacin in the composition of Dennick.

Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments filed 9-17-09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 12. Applicants' arguments of 10-17-09 pertain to the teachings of Dennick, Saito and Broaddus references. However, instant rejection now combines the teachings of 5,126,145 to Evenstad et al., which is already of record. Examiner agrees with Applicants that Dennick teaches 2-3000 mg of niacin. It is argued that the claimed method using an intermediate release formulation that has a specific dissolution profile is not obvious over the combined references because the cited references fail to indicate that one of ordinary skill in the art would have any motivation to arrive at an intermediate release formulation of nicotinic acid with the claimed dissolution profile, and provide no expectation of success that the claimed formulation would be free of hepatotoxic effects. It is argued that Dennick lacks an intermediate release formulation and instead teaches only extended release version (col. 6, L 35-40) and fails to recognize that the extended release composition results in greater liver toxicity, as described in McKenney et al. JAMA 1994; 271(9):672-7. It is argued that instant methods such a problem by requiring 40-60% nicotinic acid to be released from the

Application/Control Number: 08/960,557 Page 6

Art Unit: 1611

claimed formulation after 9 hrs. It is argued that instant dissolution profiles are different from sustained release and is intermediate to that of the currently commercially available formulation. It is argued that because Dennick fails to disclose that sustained or extended release nicotinic acid formulations are hepatotoxic, and in fact teaches the desirability of using a sustained release formulation, one of ordinary skill in the art would not have been motivated to arrive at the instantly claimed subject matter. It is argued that neither Saito nor Broaddus remedy the deficiencies of Dennick, as they do not teach nicotinic acid and rather focus on other cholesterol-lowering substances. It is argued that the references do not suggest any formulations with safety profile and the safety profile of the drugs taught by Saito and Broaddus is different from the safety-profiles of instant formulation. Hence it is argued that instant specification shows no liver toxicity with the claimed composition.

13. Applicants' arguments are not persuasive because while Dennick does not teach the claimed intermediate release formulation and also does not identify the liver problems associated with extended release formulations, Dennick does recognize an extended release formulation. According to applicants, instant claim 1 (which recites a composition comprising niacin and a swellable polymer, in the claimed amounts of niacin), should result in a safety profile when administered at evening or night. The present rejection provided the motivation to include a swellable polymer in the niacin composition of Dennick. While Dennick does not teach administering at night or evening, Dennick also measures the levels of ALAT, AST, triglycerides, LDL etc., after administering the nicotinic acid comprising composition. Furthermore, applicants argued

Art Unit: 1611

results are achieved with Niaspan®, which is specific to HPMC as a swellable polymer whereas instant claims (except claim 62) are not limited to HPMC. Hence, composition tested is not of the same scope as that claimed. Furthermore, the presently added reference of Evenstad provides the requisite motivation to include HPMC in a composition containing niacin with an expectation to provide the desired dissolution and release pattern. Even though neither Saito nor Broaddus teach compounds other than niacin, Dennick requires a combination of niacin and prayastatin. In this regard, Saito teaches administration of statin compounds (related to pravastatin of Dennick) and further the fact that in general drugs treating hyperlipidemia are administered at evening or night comes from both Saito and Broaddus. Particularly, according to Saito, there is a circadian variation in the biosynthesis of cholesterol, and the biosynthetic activity is accelerated during the night (page 816, col. 2). Therefore, even though Saito (as well as '610) teaches a different drug, a skilled artisan would readily recognize from Saito as well as '610 that it is based on the condition (hyperlipidemia) that one has to administer an anti-hyperlipidemic drug at an appropriate time such that the maximum effect of the drug to interfere with elevated levels of cholesterol or its biosynthesis are effective. This is further supported by the teachings of '610. Accordingly, even though Dennick and Evenstad do not recognize the claimed balanced lipid alteration, a skilled artisan would be able to control the release of the drug (niacin) from HPMC and also see a balanced lipid alteration because the motivation to administer the composition at night or evening comes from the teachings of Saito or Broaddus. The burden is on applicants to show that the combination of the cited prior art does not result in balanced lipid alteration as

Art Unit: 1611

claimed. Examiner notes the '145 reference was previously cited and has been withdrawn because the reference fails to teach niacin for hyperlipidemia. However, the present rejection cites '145 for HPMC as a controlled release polymer for niacin, which is taught by Dennick for treating hyperlipidemia.

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lakshmi S. Channavajjala whose telephone number is 571-272-0591. The examiner can normally be reached on 9.00 AM -5.30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sharmila G. Landau can be reached on 571-272-0614. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 08/960,557 Page 9

Art Unit: 1611

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Lakshmi S Channavajjala/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1611 January 11, 2010